UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,153	08/21/2003	Michael Delaney	83336.1535	7182
55136 BALLY GAMI	7590 06/08/201 NG INC.	EXAMINER		
6601 S. BERMI		DEODHAR, OMKAR A		
LAS VEGAS, NV 89119			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/08/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

smoney@ballytech.com mhein@ballytech.com dcaracappa@ballytech.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/645,153	DELANEY ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	OMKAR A. DEODHAR	3714		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 F</u> 2a) ■ This action is FINAL . 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice of the p	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) \[\sum \text{Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)} \]	4) ☐ Interview Summary	r (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

Final Rejection

Response to Arguments & Amendment

Applicant's arguments concerning the prior art rejection of Morris in view of Vancura are persuasive. Specifically, Examiner agrees that these references do not disclose or render obvious, selecting or determining a game result from a fixed pool of game results derived from a non-fixed pool game, wherein the game play result represents a fixed sum award determined prior to base and bonus game play and having a base game play result and a bonus game amount, in combination with the other claimed limitations.

However, Applicant's amendment, amending "Class III style" to "non-fixed pool," does not comply with the enablement requirement, as set forth more fully below. Thus, while the Examiner believes that the claims appear otherwise allowable, Applicant needs to recite this limitation in a manner consistent with Section 112.

Additionally, Examiner points out that claim 1 recites that each element is "divisible," which does not require that each element is actually divisible. As such, the term is viewed as intended usage; merely requiring prior art ability to perform. Applicant should amend this term to recite "divided" or positively recite this limitation using appropriate language.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Art Unit: 3714

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. Independent claims 1, 6 and 11 contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The claim language "Class III style" was amended to recite "non-fixed pool.", which appears to be a new matter. Applicant contends that this limitation is supported by the disclosure of non-fixed pool games having bonus rounds, starting on Page 15 of the Specification.

However, the Examiner has reviewed the cited portions & is unable to find adequate support for claim limitations requiring fixed pools of elements derived from a non-fixed pool game. In fact, the section Applicant points to begins under the heading "Pool generation or Protocol Use For Fixed-Pool Games With Bonus." (Specification, Page 15.) Furthermore, the subsequent disclosure is only of base and bonus games mimicked in jurisdictions with fixed-pool games using multiple pools and fixed-pool games using single pools. (Specification, Page 16.) Moreover, Applicant's Background discloses desirability for games based on <u>fixed pools</u> and not non-fixed pools. (Specification, Page 2.)

Applicant is requested to point out support for the amendment or amend the claims consistent with provisions of Section 112.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,153 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMKAR A. DEODHAR whose telephone number is (571)272-1647. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 571-272-4690. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,153 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Omkar Deodhar/

/Peter D. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714